Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6297 Case file # 95-249356.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO0

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

d O7 am	Not Reviewed	5/22/03	Date of the control o	Reviewed	Not Re	
o7 am	Examiner(Reviewed	Not Re	
iewed	Not Reviewed	s) & Symbo	ols	0	Not Re	
iewed	Not Reviewed	s) & Symbo	ols	0	Not Re	
(0	Not Reviewed	s) & Symbo	ols	0	Not Re	
(0	a a			0	. 0	
-				а	. 0	l
J					٠	ı
	Material		_			
		s Reviewed	l		•	
f: _	N/A					
		Pages:				
205	05036 S RQ		Date:	June 9, 1982	2	
			Date:			
			Date:			
RQ						
Laboratory Number: 205						
			<u> </u>		:	
	Page 1	of 3	_			
					:	
			Page 1 of 3	Page 1 of 3	Page 1 of 3	

If yes, please id	entify and/or describe the material:			
• • •				T
			_	
	Results of Rev	/iew		:
File #: 95-24	19356 Item or Specimen # R	eviewed:	Q1 and K	1 :
		-		
	Review of Laboratory Report(s)	and Bench	Notes:	, ,
	Note: Numbered comments are requadditional pages for any "No" or "Unable to			
	□ Yes X	□ No □ U	nable to I	Determine
Are the o	examination results set forth in the laboratory rep	ort(s) support	ted and ac	
	examination results set forth in the laboratory rep	oort(s) support	ted and ac	lequately documented in
	examination results set forth in the laboratory rep h notes?	oort(s) support No U tony:	ted and ad nable to I	dequately documented in Determine
the benc	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable	oort(s) support No U tony:	ted and ad nable to I	dequately documented in Determine
the benci	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable	oort(s) support No Us tony: uired below (to Determine	ed and ad nable to I or on " Respon	dequately documented in Determine
the benci	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable ot available.	oort(s) support No Us cony: uired below of to Determine	or on Prespon	lequately documented in Determine :
the bench	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable of available. Onesistent with the laboratory report(s)?	oort(s) support No Use Ony: uired below of to Determine	or on "Respon	Determine Unable to Determine
the bence Transcript no Testimony co Testimony co	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable ot available.	oort(s) support No Us cony: uired below of to Determine	or on Prespon	Determine Unable to Determine
the bence Transcript no Testimony co Testimony co	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable of available. Onesistent with the laboratory report(s)?	oort(s) support No Use Ony: uired below of to Determine	or on "Respon	lequately documented in Determine :
the bench	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable of available. Onesistent with the laboratory report(s)?	oort(s) support No Use Ony: uired below of to Determine	or on "Respon	Determine Unable to Determine
the bench	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable of available. Onesistent with the laboratory report(s)?	oort(s) support No Use Ony: uired below of to Determine	or on "Respon	Determine Unable to Determine
the bench	Review of Testim Note: Numbered comments are req additional pages for any "No" or "Unable of available. Onesistent with the laboratory report(s)?	oort(s) support No Use Ony: uired below of to Determine	or on "Respon	Determine Unable to Determine

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-249356	:
	:
I. The comparison and identification of bark is not a scientifically valid analysis. The ide sample may have been possible, as both vines and leaves were present in the sample. How consisted only of bark (based on the notes), and an identification of the species of wood is bark. It seems the comparison was based on "all observable characteristics." as reference my opinion that this was not a valid comparison.	vever, the Q1 sample not valid using only
There are no notes regarding the microscopic characteristics of specimen K1, and the or haracteristic cited is color. A copy of reference material is included in the notes taken from the United States. The notes do not provide any information that allow the identification appecimen Q1, the only notes refer to the color of the bark, which is not sufficient to make the	m "Selected Weeds of to be made. For
	:
	:
	•
	·
	<i>i</i>
	,
eview completed at: 10:04 (Time), 5/22 /03 (Date)	į
otal time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 60 minutes	<u>:</u>
	:
ereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the	recults of my review
fully documented on this report consisting of a total of3 pages.	'
14/	
	5/22/03
(Signature)	(Date)
	;
Page3 of3	
Initials: cto	••